

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,604	ROSE, BRIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628	

All Participants:

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) Martin Miller.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Responding to Amendment filed 05/11/07

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:45 AM

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to inquire on performing an Examiner's Amendment to remedy a 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph, antecedent basis issue with claim 32 and the phrase, "said grid" (see last line of claim 32). Applicant's Representative instructed Examiner to modify the dependency of claim 32 so that it depends upon claim 31 and NOT 22, via an Examiner's Amendment. Such modification corrects the lack of antecedent basis issue in claim 32. .